Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/775,063	SATO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MICHAEL G. MILLER	1792	

MI	CHAEL G. MILLER	1792	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 10 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLIC	CATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
 XI he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following repli application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (v for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR periods; 	ies: (1) an amendment, affidavit with appeal fee) in compliance v	or other evidence, with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the 	ne final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advison o event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later t Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). C MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on whave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensi- under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorts set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than may reduce any serned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ion and the corresponding amount o ened statutory period for reply origin	f the fee. The appropria ally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliant filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within 	n thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 \(\text{\text{\$\frac{1}{2}\$}}\) The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but t (a) \(\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\frac{1}{2}\$}}}\) They raise new issues that would require further conside (b) \(\$\text{\$\te	eration and/or search (see NOT	E below);	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corre	esponding number of finally reie	cted claims.	
NOTE: See attached document. (See 37 CFR 1.116 a			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. S		npliant Amendment (f	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s). 	able if submitted in a separate, ti	mely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\text{\text{\$\infty}} \) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected to			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but bef because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and suf was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a No entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overce showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and 	come <u>all</u> rejections under appeal	and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	the status of the claims after en	try is below or attache	ed.
The request for reconsideration has been considered but does See attached document.	es NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTC	O/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:			

/Michael G. Miller/ Examiner, Art Unit 1792